

A note on CFT dual of RS model with gauge fields in bulk

K. Agashe^{*} and A. Delgado[†]

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Johns Hopkins University

3400 North Charles St.

Baltimore, MD 21218-2686

Abstract

It has been conjectured that the (weakly coupled) Randall-Sundrum (RS) model with gauge fields in the bulk is dual to a (strongly coupled) 4D conformal field theory (CFT) with an UV cut-off and in which global symmetries of the CFT are gauged. We elucidate features of this dual CFT which are crucial for a complete understanding of the proposed duality. We argue that the limit of no (or small) brane-localized kinetic term for bulk gauge field on the RS side (often studied in the literature) is dual to no *bare* kinetic term for the gauge field which is coupled to the CFT global current. In this limit, the kinetic term for this gauge field in the dual CFT is “induced” by CFT loops. Then, this CFT loop contribution to the gauge field 1PI two-point function is dual (on the RS side) to the *full* gauge propagator (i.e., including the contribution of Kaluza-Klein *and* zero-modes) with both external points on the Planck brane. We also emphasize that *loop* corrections to the gauge coupling on the RS side are dual to *sub-leading* effects in a large- N expansion on the CFT side; these sub-leading corrections to the gauge coupling in the dual CFT are (in general) sensitive to the strong dynamics of the CFT.

^{*}email: kagashe@pha.jhu.edu

[†]email: adelgado@pha.jhu.edu

1 Introduction

The Randall-Sundrum (RS) proposal of a warped extra dimension [1] is interesting from both theoretical and phenomenological points of view, for example, the RS1 model can solve the Planck-weak hierarchy problem. For the case of RS1, the extra dimension is an orbifolded circle of radius r_c with the Planck (or UV) brane at $\theta = 0$ and the TeV (or IR) brane at $\theta = \pi$. The geometry is a compact slice of AdS (with curvature scale, k of order M_4 , the 4D Planck mass):

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{-2kr_c|\theta|} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + r_c^2 d\theta^2, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \\ &= \frac{1}{(kz)^2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dz^2), \quad z_{UV} \leq z \leq z_{IR}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

In terms of the coordinate $z \equiv 1/k e^{kr_c|\theta|}$, the Planck brane is at $z_{UV} = 1/k$, the TeV brane is at $z_{IR} = 1/k e^{k\pi r_c}$ and, in order to solve the Planck-weak hierarchy problem, r_c is chosen so that $1/z_{IR} \sim \text{TeV}$. The RS2 model corresponds to $r_c \rightarrow \infty$.

The AdS/CFT correspondence [2, 3, 4, 5] suggests that RS models are dual to deformed conformal field theories (CFT's) [6, 7, 8, 9]. In this paper, we are interested in the CFT dual of RS model with gauge fields in the bulk [10, 11, 12]. In this case, the dual is a CFT with a UV cut-off and with global symmetries of the CFT gauged by a gauge field *external* to the CFT [4, 7]. In this paper, we study aspects of this dual CFT which are required for a better understanding of the AdS/CFT correspondence as applied to this RS model. Although these CFT duals have been discussed before, the properties we focus on have not been studied in detail before.

The central point of our study is that the *bare* kinetic term (or kinetic term at the UV cut-off) for gauge field external to the CFT is to be identified with Planck brane-localized kinetic term for (bulk) gauge field on the RS side. As a check, we show that (the form of) the propagator for gauge field coupled to the global current of the dual CFT (including bare kinetic term *and* CFT loop correction) agrees with the gauge propagator in RS model with both external points on the Planck brane (including the effects of bulk *and* brane-localized kinetic term).

This implies that the limit of no (or small) (Planck) brane-localized kinetic term on the RS side is dual to no *bare* kinetic term for gauge field coupled to global current of the CFT, so that the kinetic term for this gauge field is “induced” by CFT loops. This “induced gauge theory” is similar to CFT loops inducing gravity (rather inverse Newton constant) in the limit of very large (or infinite) *bare* gravitational constant [7, 8, 13] – we extend this analysis to the case of the gauge field in order to have a complete understanding of the AdS/CFT duality in this case. We also study in more detail the implications of induced gauge theory and induced gravity. For

example, in the induced gauge theory (or gravity) limit, the CFT contributions to the external gauge field (or graviton) 1PI two-point function are dual (on the RS1 side) to the contribution of zero *and* Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes to the Planck brane-to-Planck brane propagator.

Another consequence of induced kinetic term for gauge field external to the dual CFT is large kinetic mixing between this gauge field and CFT bound states [7]. This leads to a dual interpretation of *flat* profile of gauge field zero-mode and *sizable* coupling of gauge KK modes to Planck brane fields. We compare the case of gauge field to that of gravity, where we argue that this mixing (between graviton and CFT fields) is small even in the induced gravity limit. This matches with *localization* of graviton zero-mode near Planck brane and *weak* coupling of graviton KK modes to Planck brane fields. We also give a dual interpretation of coupling of gauge KK modes to *TeV* brane fields.

The AdS/CFT correspondence says that tree-level effects in AdS are dual to leading effects in a large- N expansion on the CFT side (for example, if the CFT is a $SU(N)$ gauge theory), whereas *loop* effects on AdS side are dual to *sub-leading* large- N effects on the CFT side [2,3,4,5]. In this paper, we focus on the CFT dual of the simple RS model with scalar QED in the bulk. In this case, the sub-leading large- N corrections to the (external) gauge coupling in the dual CFT are due to the loop of a fundamental scalar which is *external* to the CFT and *sub-leading* part of the vacuum polarization (i.e., running) due to CFT charged matter. We point out that these two effects are *comparable* in size and that the latter (i.e., sub-leading part of CFT loop correction) *is* sensitive to the strong CFT dynamics. Thus, the loop corrections to the gauge coupling in this RS model are difficult to compute using the dual theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define the RS model (the dual of which is to be studied), namely scalar QED in bulk, and give expressions for the classical and one-loop corrected low-energy gauge coupling in this model. In section 3, we discuss dual of the RS2 model and present the central point mentioned above, in particular, the limit of induced kinetic term for the gauge field external to the CFT. Section 4 deals with CFT dual of the RS1 model. Specifically, in section 4.1, we discuss kinetic mixing between fields external to CFT and CFT bound states and also give a dual interpretation of coupling of KK modes. In section 4.2, we discuss low-energy gauge coupling in the dual CFT including the sub-leading large- N effects (which are dual to loop corrections on RS side). We conclude in section 5.

2 Review of RS model with bulk gauge fields

For simplicity, we consider (massless) scalar QED in bulk – extension to non-abelian gauge fields in bulk should be straightforward. The bulk 5D action is:

$$S_{bulk} = \int d^4x r_c d\theta \sqrt{-G} \left(-\frac{1}{4g_5^2} F_{MN} F^{MN} + G^{MN} D_M \phi (D_N \phi)^\dagger \right). \quad (2.1)$$

A_μ and ϕ are taken to be orbifold-even while A_5 is taken to be orbifold-odd.

In general, there are brane-localized kinetic terms for gauge and scalar field: even if absent at tree-level, these are generated by bulk loop effects due to breaking of translation invariance by orbifold [14]. Thus, the brane action is (in addition to action for brane-localized fields):

$$S_{UV(IR)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{UV(IR)}} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \tau_{UV(IR)} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{UV(IR)} (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger D^\mu \phi \right). \quad (2.2)$$

We assume that these couplings are perturbations to the bulk couplings, for example, they are of same order as one-loop processes involving bulk couplings.

The zero-mode of the gauge field has a *flat* profile and hence the 4D low energy effective gauge coupling at *classical* level is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g_4^2} &= \tau_{UV} + \tau_{IR} + \frac{\pi r_c}{g_5^2} \\ &= \tau_{UV} + \tau_{IR} + \frac{\log [O(M_4)/\text{TeV}]}{kg_5^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

(using $k\pi r_c = \log [O(M_4)/\text{TeV}]$). From reference [15], the low energy gauge coupling at the *one-loop* level (for $q \ll \text{TeV}$) is (also, see [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g_4^2(q)} &= \tau_{R\ UV}(k) + \tau_{R\ IR}(k) + \frac{\pi r_c}{g_{5\ R}^2(k)} \\ &\quad + b_4 \left(\log \frac{k}{q} + \xi k\pi r_c + O(1) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\xi \sim O(1)$ and $\tau_{R\ UV(IR)}(k) \equiv b_4/4 \log(\Lambda/k) + \tau_{UV(IR)}$, $1/g_{5\ R}^2(k) \equiv c(\Lambda - k) + 1/g_5^2$ are renormalized couplings. $b_4 = 1/(24\pi^2)$ is the 4D β -function coefficient of a charged scalar and c is of order a 5D loop factor.

3 Duality for RS2 with bulk gauge fields

We now discuss the 4D CFT dual of this model. The (usual) AdS/CFT correspondence [2, 3, 4, 5] suggests that any 5D gravity theory on (infinite) AdS_5 is dual to some 4D CFT. In particular,

for every 5D bulk field, ϕ , there corresponds an operator, $\mathcal{O}$ in the CFT and the value of the (bulk) field at the 4D boundary of AdS₅ (at $z = 0$), ϕ_0 acts as a source for the operator. The AdS/CFT correspondence tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}\psi_{CFT} \exp \left[- \left(S_{CFT}[\psi_{CFT}] + \int d^4x \phi_0 \mathcal{O} \right) \right] &= \int_{\phi(z=0)=\phi_0} \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-S_{bulk}[\phi]) \\ &\equiv \exp(-\Gamma[\phi_0]), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $S_{CFT}[\psi_{CFT}]$ is the pure CFT action for CFT fields ψ_{CFT} and S_{bulk} is the bulk 5D action for the field ϕ [3, 4]. The RHS is just the effective action, $\Gamma[\phi_0]$ of the (unique) solution for ϕ in bulk with ϕ at the boundary $= \phi_0$.

Reference [23] suggested that gravity in a *finite* region of AdS₅ is dual to a CFT with a UV cut-off related to the location of the boundary. Since RS2 model is AdS₅ space cut-off by a Planck brane (i.e., with the boundary) at $z_{UV} > 0$, the modification of the AdS/CFT correspondence in the case of RS2 is that the presence of the Planck brane corresponds to putting a UV cut-off on the CFT of $\sim (1/z_{UV})$ (but in such a way that the theory remains conformal in the IR) [6, 7, 8, 9]. To be more precise, there exists a (unknown) regularization scheme for the CFT such that (here, ϕ collectively denotes all bulk fields)

$$\int_{\Lambda_{CFT} \sim z_{UV}^{-1}} \mathcal{D}\psi_{CFT} \exp \left[- \left(S_{CFT}[\psi_{CFT}] + \int d^4x \phi_0 \mathcal{O} \right) \right] = \int_{\phi(z=z_{UV})=\phi_0} \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-S_{bulk}[\phi]), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Lambda_{CFT} \sim 1/z_{UV}$ in the path integral on the LHS indicates regularization of CFT with the associated mass scale being $\sim (1/z_{UV})$ (see, for example, reference [9]).

Since bulk fields evaluated on AdS₅ boundary are dual to sources in the CFT, the (Planck) brane-localized action for bulk fields, S_{UV} (including kinetic terms) should correspond to a *bare* action (in particular, *bare* kinetic terms) for sources in the dual CFT – we will check this ansatz in what follows. We will also show in what follows that even if these bare/brane-localized kinetic terms are small (or absent), kinetic terms for the sources will be “induced” by CFT loop effects due to the presence of a UV cut-off. This is dual to the fact that the boundary fields become dynamical in RS2, *unlike* in the case of the boundary at $z = 0$. Since sources/boundary values of bulk fields are dynamical, we have to include both sides of Eq. (3.2) in a path integral over ϕ_0 (for scalar fields, these issues were studied in reference [9]). However, on the CFT side, we will still refer to these (dynamical) fields as “sources” to avoid confusion with CFT fields (or

with the bulk fields on the RS side). Explicitly, we have

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 \exp(-S_{UV}[\phi_0]) \int_{\Lambda_{CFT} \sim z_{UV}^{-1}} \mathcal{D}\psi_{CFT} \exp \left[- \left(S_{CFT}[\psi_{CFT}] + \int d^4x \phi_0 \mathcal{O} \right) \right] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 \exp(-S_{UV}[\phi_0]) \int_{\phi(z=z_{UV})=\phi_0} \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-S_{bulk}[\phi]) \quad (3.3)$$

which follows from Eq. (3.2). Here $S_{UV}[\phi_0]$ on LHS is interpreted as *bare* action for (dynamical) sources.

In this paper, we discuss aspects of the correspondence summarized in Eq. (3.3) for bulk scalar QED. The 5D theory with bulk gauge fields is dual to a 4D CFT with (unbroken) global symmetries [4]. Then, the source (gauge field) couples to the (conserved) current corresponding to a subgroup of this global symmetry, i.e., $\mathcal{O} \sim J_\mu^{global}$ and $\phi_0(\text{source}) \sim A_\mu(x, z = \text{boundary})$. In the case of a massless bulk scalar, the corresponding $\mathcal{O}$ has conformal dimension 4 (marginal operator) [4].

From the discussion above, it is clear that kinetic terms for gauge and scalar field in Eq. (2.2) should correspond to a *bare* kinetic terms for sources in the dual CFT. Thus, the CFT dual of scalar QED in the RS bulk has the following action *at the cut-off* $\sim O(M_4)$ (i.e., *bare* action)

$$S \sim S_{CFT} + \int d^4x \left(A_\mu J^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \tau_{UV} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{UV} |D\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \phi \mathcal{O} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Here, A_μ is the (source) gauge field (“photon”), ϕ is the (source) charged scalar¹, $\mathcal{O}$ is a dimension four CFT operator with zero anomalous dimension and J^μ is a $U(1)$ global symmetry current of the CFT.

The dual CFT is unknown and strongly coupled. However, one *can* still compute *form* of certain quantities (for example, dependence on momenta) and also estimate some quantities in terms of N (if the CFT is a large- N $SU(N)$ gauge theory). We will check that these CFT estimates agree with the corresponding calculations on the RS side (which are at weak coupling and hence under control).

To begin with, we include effects of CFT loops and the ϕ loop on the A_μ propagator assuming that, at the cut-off, the external gauge field is weakly coupled to the CFT, i.e., $1/\tau_{UV} \ll 16\pi^2$. We obtain, at energy scale $q \ll k$, the following expression for the 1PI two-point function (inverse propagator) for A_μ – an explanation is given below (also see discussion in references [7, 19]):

$$(\eta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \left(\tilde{\tau}_{UV} + [b_{CFT} + b_{scalar}] \left[\log \frac{k}{q} + O(1) \right] \right). \quad (3.5)$$

¹Again, these source fields are dual to boundary values of bulk AdS fields, but for notational ease, we drop the subscript 0.

The tensor structure is fixed by current conservation. We assume that the CFT is a (strongly coupled) large- N $SU(N)$ gauge theory, but the global symmetry group (the $U(1)$ subgroup of which is gauged) acts on fundamentals (and not, for example, adjoints) of the $SU(N)$ gauge theory. We also assume that the number of these fundamentals is fixed in the large- N limit. Since “color” of CFT acts as “flavor” for photon, running due to charged CFT fields is given by $b_{CFT} \log(\Lambda_{CFT}/q)$ with $b_{CFT} \sim N/(16\pi^2)$ (this is the effect of the $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle$ correlator). This running is expressed in Eq. (3.5) as $b_{CFT} [\log(k/q) + O(1)]$ using Λ_{CFT} (UV cut-off of CFT) $\sim k$ ($\sim O[M_4]$). Here and henceforth, $O(1)$ refers to terms which are bounded as $q/k \rightarrow 0$. Although b_{CFT} looks like a one-loop β -function coefficient, it is *not* (entirely) fixed by (gauge group) representations (i.e., quantum numbers) of the CFT charged matter (unlike in one-loop perturbation theory), but it *depends on the strong CFT dynamics*. However, the above q dependence of this running (i.e., the fact that b_{CFT} is a constant) is fixed by conformal invariance.

Next, we argue that the running due to scalar loop has the form of the b_{scalar} term in Eq. (3.5) even though this includes *dressing of scalar propagator by the $\langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle$ correlator and scalar-photon vertex by the $\langle J_\mu \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle$ correlator* (since ϕ couples to CFT fields through $\phi\mathcal{O}$).² Note that the $\langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle$ correlator has a quadratic divergence which cancels the $1/k$ suppression in the $\phi\mathcal{O}$ coupling: $\langle \mathcal{O}(p)\mathcal{O}(0) \rangle \sim N/(16\pi^2) p^2 [\Lambda_{CFT}^2 + p^2 \log(\Lambda_{CFT}/p) + \text{finite}]$, where $\Lambda_{CFT} \sim k$ (see reference [9]). The quadratically divergent part of this correlator results in wavefunction renormalization for the scalar and, in particular, “induces” a kinetic term for ϕ in the limit $\sigma_{UV} \rightarrow 0$. So, the ϕ propagator is

$$p^2 \left(\sigma_{UV} + \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \left[a + b \frac{p^2}{k^2} \left(\log \frac{k}{p} + O(1) \right) \right] \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where a and b are $O(1)$ constants. A similar argument applies to the dressing of the scalar-photon vertex (i.e., the $\langle J_\mu \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle$ correlator). The leading order (i.e., quadratic divergence of) dressing of scalar propagator is related to that of the scalar-photon vertex by the Ward identity (i.e., gauge invariance). The logarithmic divergence and finite part (suppressed by $\sim p^2/k^2$) of CFT dressing of scalar propagator and scalar-photon vertex can be neglected for momenta smaller than k . Using these arguments, one can show that (for $q \ll k$) running due to scalar has the form shown above with b_{scalar} given by b_4 , the (one-loop) $4D$ beta-function [19].

Any remaining CFT loop corrections (at *sub-leading* order in a large- N expansion) are incorporated in $\tilde{\tau}_{UV}$ (as a correction to τ_{UV}). The *precise* coefficients of the CFT loop corrections in b_{CFT} and $\tilde{\tau}_{UV}$ are sensitive to the strong CFT dynamics.

Since the source is dual to the gauge/scalar field evaluated on the *Planck brane*, the above 1PI two-point function (henceforth referred to as “kinetic term”) for source should correspond

²We thank Walter Goldberger for discussions on this point.

(on the RS side) to (inverse of) gauge/scalar propagator with both external points on *Planck brane* (henceforth referred to as the “Planck brane propagator”).

Let us see if the two sides agree. In reference [16], the tree-level *gauge* propagator in RS2 (for arbitrary external points) was computed using Neumann boundary condition at the Planck brane, i.e., *with no brane-localized gauge kinetic term* ($\tau_{UV} = 0$). To compute any gauge propagator with $\tau_{UV} \neq 0$, we have to *modify the (Neumann) boundary condition at the Planck brane*. Solving the classical wave equation of motion (including the effect of τ_{UV}), we get (inverse of) wavefunction of a continuum mode of mass m at *Planck brane* (for $m \ll k$) is

$$(\psi_m)^{-1} \sim \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} \left(-\left[\log\left(\frac{m}{2k}\right) + \gamma \right] + (kg_5^2) \tau_{UV} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{k^2}\right) \right), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.58$ is the Euler constant. The Planck brane propagator (including the gauge coupling) is given by an integral over continuum modes $\sim \int^{\sim q} dm \, 1/q^2 \, g_5^2 (\psi_m)^2$. Here, we neglect the contribution from modes heavier than $\sim q$ (since these modes give *contact i.e., local* interactions) and neglect the mass m in propagator for a mode lighter than $\sim q$. We can also solve for the propagator (Green function) *directly*, i.e., repeat the calculation of reference [16], but now modifying the Neumann boundary condition to include the effect of τ_{UV} . Both methods give the following (inverse of) *tree-level* Planck brane gauge propagator (for $q \ll k$ and ignoring the tensor structure)

$$q^2 \left(\tau_{UV} + \frac{1}{g_5^2 k} \left[\log\left(\frac{2k}{q}\right) - \gamma + i\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{q^2}{k^2}\right) \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

The AdS/CFT correspondence tells us that the classical AdS action is captured by leading large- N effects of the dual CFT [2,3,4,5], where we can neglect b_{scalar} and set $\tilde{\tau}_{UV} = \tau_{UV}$ in Eq. (3.5). Then, we see that the two sides (Eqs. (3.5) and (3.8)) agree *if* $b_{CFT}|_{large-N} = 1/(kg_5^2)$: this is consistent with the fact that $b_{CFT}|_{large-N}$ has an IR-free sign (even if A_μ is non-abelian as long as rank of the gauge group is fixed in the large- N limit) since it is dominated by the running due to charged CFT matter which comes in complete large- N representations. Also, $O(1)$ term in Eq. (3.5) has to be $(\log 2 - \gamma + i\pi/2)$ for this agreement.

Similarly, one can compute the *scalar* Planck brane propagator *including the effect of brane-localized kinetic term* and show that it agrees (in form) with the scalar (source) propagator is the dual CFT, Eq. (3.6).

“Induced” gauge theory: Let us study the limit $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ on both the RS2 and the dual CFT sides. On the RS2 side, this is the (simple) limit of *only* bulk gauge kinetic term studied in reference [16]. In this case, for $q \ll k$, the tree-level *Planck brane* propagator is $g_5^2 k / (q^2 [\log(2k/q) - \gamma])$ [16]. We see that the *classical* gauge coupling measured on Planck brane (defined as $q^2 \times$ propagator, i.e., $\sim g_5^2 k / [\log(2k/q) - \gamma]$, see also reference [24]) becomes

very large (i.e., the theory becomes strongly coupled) as $q \rightarrow 2e^{-\gamma} k \sim k$. Whereas, with $\tau_{UV} \neq 0$, gauge coupling measured on Planck brane as $q \rightarrow k$ can be small (see Eq. (3.8)). Also, even if $\tau_{UV} = 0$ at tree-level, bulk loops generate a (logarithmically divergent) brane-localized kinetic term [14], thus requiring a brane-localized *counterterm* so that it is unrealistic to have no tree-level τ_{UV} .

In the dual CFT, $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to no (or very small) *bare* kinetic term for source – in other words, the limit of infinite *bare* gauge coupling – so that perturbation theory is not really valid *for* $q \sim k$ (this is dual to the *classical* strong coupling behavior for $q \rightarrow k$ on RS2 side mentioned above). However, the limit $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ is a smooth one (at the classical level) on the RS2 side (*for* $q \ll k$) and so we might expect that the expression for the source propagator in the dual CFT (*for* $q \ll k$) also has a smooth $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ limit. Therefore, we assume that Eq. (3.5) continues to hold even for $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$. Then, we see that even though the gauge coupling (in the dual CFT) is strong at the cut-off, it does become weak for $q \ll k$ (so that perturbation theory is valid). Also, *with* $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ and for $q \ll k$, it is obvious that the gauge coupling in the dual CFT (in the large- N limit and for $b_{CFT}|_{large-N} = 1/(kg_5^2)$) agrees with the *classical* gauge coupling measured on Planck brane in RS2.

It is clear that *in the limit* $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$, the kinetic term for source (or inverse gauge coupling) in the dual CFT (for $q \ll k$) is “induced” by CFT loop effects.³ Of course, in general, $\tau_{UV} \neq 0$ so that *bare* gauge coupling (in the dual CFT) can be weak (i.e., perturbation theory is valid *even at the cut-off*) and source kinetic term (for $q \ll k$) is not fully induced by CFT loop correction. In fact, since the source kinetic term generated by the CFT loop is divergent, we have to add such a counterterm (i.e., add τ_{UV}) to cancel this divergence (i.e., cut-off dependence).

We now briefly discuss other aspects of this correspondence which illustrate the fact that *classical* effects on AdS side are dual to *quantum* (running) effects in CFT.

For a fixed q (and τ_{UV}), if the UV cut-off of CFT, $\Lambda_{CFT} \rightarrow \infty$, then the (infinite amount of) running due to matter fields results in vanishing of the propagator (or gauge coupling) for the source (see Eq. (3.5)). Since $\Lambda_{CFT} \sim 1/z_{UV}$, on the AdS side, this corresponds to the case where Planck brane is at the AdS boundary, i.e., $z_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ (with k fixed). Then, gauge field evaluated at boundary (which is dual to source in CFT) is *not* dynamical (in agreement with the CFT side). The reason is that there is no normalizable zero-mode, whereas the continuum modes cannot reach the Planck brane (due to *infinite* potential barrier near the Planck brane in the analog quantum mechanics problem). In fact, we can repeat the calculation of reference [16] for a general position of Planck brane (i.e., for *any* z_{UV} , not necessarily $z_{UV} = 1/k$) and show that the boundary gauge propagator (with $\tau_{UV} = 0$ and for $qz_{UV} \ll 1$) is $\sim -g_5^2 k / [q^2 \log(qz_{UV})]$ (which vanishes as $z_{UV} \rightarrow 0$). This agrees with the source propagator in the dual CFT (Eq.

³Induced gauge theory has been discussed in other contexts, see, for example, [25].

(3.5)) since $\Lambda_{CFT} \sim 1/z_{UV}$. Since source/boundary value of bulk field is *not* dynamical in the limit $\Lambda_{CFT} \rightarrow \infty/z_{UV} \rightarrow 0$, path integral over these fields is *not* performed in Eq. (3.3) – this is the limit of *infinite* AdS (i.e., Eq. (3.1)) studied in the usual AdS/CFT duality [2, 3, 4, 5].

With a Planck brane at $z_{UV} \neq 0$, but no TeV brane, the zero-mode is still *not* normalizable since it has a *flat* profile constant in z – *both* branes are required to get a normalizable zero-mode for the gauge field, unlike for graviton (or massless scalar field), where *only* the Planck brane is required. Nevertheless, for $q \neq 0$, some of the *continuum* modes do reach the Planck brane (by tunneling through the *finite* barrier in the analog quantum mechanics problem) so that the Planck brane propagator does not vanish. However, as $q \rightarrow 0$, the gauge coupling measured on Planck brane ($\sim g_5^2 k / \log(k/q)$ for $\tau_{UV} = 0$) vanishes since there is no zero-mode (and continuum modes do not contribute as $q \rightarrow 0$). In the CFT dual, this corresponds to $\Lambda_{CFT} \sim 1/z_{UV} \neq \infty$ and hence for $q \neq 0$, the source propagator is non-vanishing (see Eq. (3.5)), whereas propagator for source vanishes as $q \rightarrow 0$ since (as before) matter fields cause a gauge theory to be IR free [7, 24]. As mentioned earlier, since the source/boundary value of bulk gauge field *is* dynamical in the case of $\Lambda_{CFT} \neq \infty/z_{UV} \neq 0$ (i.e., in the case of the RS model), we have to include both sides of Eq. (3.1) in a path integral over these fields (i.e., we have to use Eq. (3.3)).

4 Duality for RS1 with bulk gauge fields

When we have a TeV brane (RS1), on AdS side, there *is* a (normalizable) zero-mode (which is flat). The AdS/CFT correspondence as applied to RS1 [7, 8, 9] says that the IR brane at z_{IR} corresponds to spontaneous breaking of conformal invariance at $\mu_{CFT} \sim 1/z_{IR} \sim \text{TeV}$. Also, KK modes of graviton (gauge boson) (with masses quantized in units of $1/z_{IR} \sim \text{TeV}$) are dual to massive spin-2 (spin-1) bound states of CFT while *massless* bound states of CFT map on to fields on the TeV brane [7].

For $q \gg \text{TeV}$, the kinetic term for the source in the dual CFT is still given by Eq. (3.5) (since for $q \gg \text{TeV}$, the effect of breaking of conformal invariance is not important). Let us compare it to the RS1 side. In reference [17], the *tree-level* gauge propagator in RS1 (for arbitrary external points) was computed using Neumann boundary condition at *both* Planck and TeV branes, i.e., again *with* $\tau_{UV,IR} = 0$ – for $q \gg \text{TeV}$, the *Planck brane* propagator in RS1 is *same* as in that in RS2 (this propagator obviously includes effects of KK *and* zero modes). Thus, it agrees with source propagator in the dual CFT (in the large- N limit and *with* $\tau_{UV} = 0$) for $b_{CFT}|_{\text{large-}N} = 1/(g_5^2 k)$. Again, in the limit $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow 0$ studied (on the RS1 side) in reference [17], the kinetic term for source in the CFT dual is induced by CFT loops. Thus, (in this limit) the *CFT loop contribution* to the source 1PI two-point function *corresponds (on the RS1 side) to*

the contribution of *KK* and *zero modes* to the Planck brane propagator.

As in the case of RS2, we can compute the tree-level Planck brane propagator in RS1 for $\tau_{UV}, \tau_{IR} \neq 0$ – for $m_n \gg k$, wavefunction of *KK* modes at Planck brane is as in Eq. (3.7) (up to a factor of $1/\sqrt{z_{IR}}$ to go from continuum to discrete normalization).⁴ Approximating the sum over (propagators of) *KK* modes lighter than q by an integral (which is justified if $q \gg \text{TeV}$, i.e., splitting between *KK* masses) and adding the zero-mode contribution, we get the same propagator as in RS2 (Eq. (3.8)) which again agrees with source propagator in the dual CFT (Eq. (3.5)).

A brief comment as an aside: τ_{UV} is necessary for “holographic” RG [26] which says that changing UV cut-off of CFT from k to k' (i.e., Wilsonian RG) corresponds to moving the position of Planck brane (z_{UV}) from $1/k$ to $1/k'$. On AdS side, to keep physical 4*D* gauge coupling (see Eq. (2.3)) invariant as we move the Planck brane (with the TeV brane, i.e., z_{IR} fixed), we must include a boundary gauge kinetic term and change it: $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow \tau_{UV} + 1/(g_5^2 k) \log(k/k')$ (note that, in general, $\pi r_c \sim 1/k \log(z_{IR}/z_{UV})$).⁵ On CFT side, the cut-off dependence of CFT loop correction (to the external gauge coupling) is absorbed by τ_{UV} (as mentioned earlier).

4.1 Dual interpretation of coupling of *KK* modes

Actually, there is a subtlety in the CFT loop “calculation”: we expect there to be kinetic mixing between source (again, this is a dynamical field, but external to CFT) and spin-1 CFT bound states [7] (similar to $\gamma - \rho$ mixing in QED coupled to QCD). For example, if we cut the Feynman diagrams which give the leading contributions to $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle_{CFT}$, we get (only) *one* “meson” intermediate states (as in the case of large- N QCD – see, for example, reference [27]). Thus, in the large- N limit, $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle_{CFT}$ is a sum of *tree*-level diagrams in which J_μ creates a “ ρ ” meson with an amplitude $\langle 0 | J_\mu | \rho \rangle$ which then propagates and is absorbed by J_ν . Since $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle_{CFT} \propto N/(16\pi^2)$, we get $\langle 0 | J_\mu | \rho \rangle \propto \sqrt{N}/(4\pi)$ for the lightest ρ meson with a mass of $\sim \mu_{CFT}$ (there might be a suppression by powers of m_ρ/μ_{CFT} for heavier (“ ρ' ”) mesons). Then, “ $\gamma - \rho$ ” mixing can be represented by $\sim \sqrt{N}/(4\pi) F_{\mu\nu} \rho^{\mu\nu}$.

In the case of small τ_{UV} (i.e., large *bare* gauge coupling), the above kinetic mixing is important since the CFT loop correction, which generates this mixing, also induces the kinetic term for source.⁶ As a result, the *massless* spin-1 state (which is dual to zero-mode of gauge field on

⁴For $\tau_{UV} = 0$, these wavefunctions were computed in [11].

⁵We can analyze the gauge coupling as measured on the Planck brane (instead of 4*D* gauge coupling) with the same result.

⁶Conversely, this mixing is small in the limit $\tau_{UV} \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., small bare gauge coupling as in the case of QED (with a UV cut-off at, say, M_4) coupled to QCD.

RS1 side) is mixture of source and CFT fields – this is expected since zero-mode of RS1 has a *flat* profile and hence cannot correspond to just the source (which is dual to the gauge field evaluated on the *boundary*). Also, *massive* spin-1 states (which were pure CFT bound states in the absence of mixing) now contain a part of the source. Hence, fields external to CFT which couple to the CFT only via the source (for example, the electron in the case of QED coupled to QCD) have a significant coupling to a *single* massive state [7]. In fact, using the above $\gamma - \rho$ kinetic mixing, we can estimate this (“ $\rho - e$ ”) coupling to be of order

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi} \times \frac{1}{N/(16\pi^2) \times \log(k/\text{TeV})}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the first factor is from $\gamma - \rho$ mixing (which converts ρ to γ) and the second factor accounts for γ propagating to couple to the electron. We have used Eq. (3.5) (evaluated at $q \sim m_\rho$) for the γ (source) propagator with $b_{CFT} \sim N/(16\pi^2)$ and $\tau_{UV} = 0$. This analysis shows that the source propagator has poles corresponding to masses of these states – Eq. (3.5) is strictly speaking *valid only for Euclidean momenta*.

This is dual to the fact that the coupling of a gauge KK mode (which is dual to a massive spin-1 state in the CFT) to Planck brane fields (which are dual to fields external to CFT), given by $g_5 \psi_{m_n}$ (see Eq. (3.7)), is sizable. For the lightest KK mode, this coupling is $\sim g_4/\sqrt{k\pi r_c} \sim 0.2 \times g_4$ (using $k\pi r_c \sim \log(k/\text{TeV})$) in the case of $\tau_{UV} = 0$ [11]. In fact, this coupling *agrees* with our dual CFT estimate above (Eq. (4.1)) since $1/g_4^2 \sim k\pi r_c/(g_5^2 k)$ (see Eq. (2.3)) and $1/(kg_5^2) = b_{CFT}|_{\text{large-}N} \sim N/(16\pi^2)$. Also, since the Planck brane propagator is a sum over (propagators of) these KK modes, it has poles at the KK masses – *the expression for RS1 Planck brane propagator* in Eq. (3.8) is also strictly speaking *valid for Euclidean momenta*.

The case of gauge field is to be compared to that of gravity, where mixing between source (which is dynamical) and spin-2 CFT bound states is very small for $q \ll k$. The reason is that, in the limit of very large (or infinite) *bare* gravitational constant, gravity (rather inverse Newton constant) is induced by the *quadratically* divergent part of CFT loop correction [7,8,13], i.e., the $\langle T_{\mu\nu} T_{\rho\sigma} \rangle$ correlator (which has a form similar to the $\langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle$ correlator given earlier). Whereas, the *mixing* should be due to *logarithmically* divergent contribution and hence is suppressed by $\sim q^2/k^2$. Since the mixing is small, the source (which is dual to graviton field evaluated at the *boundary*) is mostly the *massless* spin-2 eigenstate and *massive* spin-2 states are mostly CFT bound states (with very small admixture of source). Thus, fields external to CFT (which couple to the CFT only via the source) couple *weakly* to a *single* massive spin-2 state. It is also clear that since the contribution of *massive* states to the source propagator (and hence to a scattering process involving fields external to the CFT) is due to mixing, it is suppressed by $\sim q^2/k^2$ compared to the contribution of the *massless* state.

On the RS side, this matches with *localization* of graviton zero-mode (which corresponds to massless spin-2 state in dual CFT) near the *Planck* brane and *weak* coupling of a KK graviton mode (which is dual to *massive* spin-2 state in CFT) with mass $\ll k$ to Planck brane fields (which are dual to fields external to the CFT) [1].⁷ Also, the contribution of KK graviton modes to the static potential between two masses on the Planck brane is suppressed by $\sim 1/(kr)^2$ compared to that of the zero-mode [1]. Obviously, a similar analysis holds for the case of a massless bulk scalar.

Of course, it is difficult to compute (analytically) the mixing between source and spin-1 CFT bound states since the CFT loop involves strong dynamics (just as one has to resort to, for example, lattice techniques to derive $\gamma - \rho$ mixing starting from QCD). Nevertheless, one can do the following check (which is an extension of the analysis of reference [7] to the case of $\tau_{UV} \neq 0$ on RS1 side).

We can obtain the (*exact* form of) CFT loop correction (self-energy of photon) from the AdS side *using the correspondence* [7]: Eq. (3.1) implies that the (large- N limit of) correlator $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle_{CFT} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\psi_{CFT} J_\mu J_\nu \exp[-S_{CFT}(\psi_{CFT})]$ is given by dependence of (tree-level) 5D action on boundary value of gauge field (with brane localized coupling, $\tau_{UV} = 0$).⁸ Then, we can compare the result of resumming the source propagator (i.e., bare kinetic term $\tau_{UV} + \text{exact CFT loop}$)⁹ (see Eq. (39) in (preprint version of) reference [7]) to the RS1 Planck brane propagator *as modified by the addition of the brane-localized coupling*, τ_{UV} . This resummed source propagator has poles corresponding to masses m_n of RS1 KK modes [7].¹⁰ Away from poles (for example, with Euclidean momenta), for $q \gg \text{TeV}$, this source propagator (with the *exact* CFT loop correction) agrees with the RS1 Planck brane propagator which we computed above in Eq. (3.8) *with a modification of the (Neumann) boundary condition at the Planck brane to include the effect of τ_{UV}* . We already mentioned this agreement based on the *general* form of CFT loop correction (i.e., based on Eq. (3.5) which is reasonably correct away from poles). The coupling of a massive spin-2 state to fields external to the CFT can be computed from the residue of the source propagator at the pole m_n (see Eq. (44) in (preprint version of)

⁷As in the case of the gauge field, using the form of the $\langle T_{\mu\nu} T_{\rho\sigma} \rangle$ correlator, we can estimate the coupling of (lightest) massive spin-2 state in the dual CFT to fields external to the CFT. This coupling “agrees” with the coupling of (lightest) graviton KK mode to Planck brane fields.

⁸This 5D quantity, i.e., $\partial^2 \Gamma[\phi_0] / \partial \phi_0^2$ is equal to (inverse of) tree-level Planck brane propagator (with $\tau_{UV} = 0$).

⁹We can show that, in the large- N limit (which corresponds to classical limit on RS1 side), the $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle$ correlator is the same as in the *pure* CFT case (i.e., $\langle J_\mu J_\nu \rangle_{CFT}$ with *no* propagating sources) since the virtual effects of the sources (A_μ and ϕ) propagating (on this correlator) are *sub-leading* in a large- N expansion. Also, in the large- N limit, the scalar loop can be neglected.

¹⁰The masses of RS1 KK modes which are much lighter than k are the *same* as in the case $\tau_{UV} = 0$.

reference [7]). One can show that it agrees with wavefunction of the RS1 KK mode of mass m_n at the Planck brane, i.e., $g_5\psi_{m_n}$ which we computed above in Eq. (3.7) (again, *with a modified boundary condition at the Planck brane to include the effect of τ_{UV}*).

What is the dual interpretation of coupling of KK modes of gauge field to TeV brane fields? On RS1 side, KK modes of gauge field couple strongly to TeV brane fields [10, 11]: the coupling is $\sim g_4\sqrt{k\pi r_c} \sim O(10) \times g_4$ (since $k\pi r_c \sim \log [O(M_4)/TeV]$) for $\tau_{UV,IR} = 0$. This is dual to the coupling of *massive* CFT bound states to *massless bound* states (“ $\rho - \pi - \pi$ ” couplings) which is $\sim 4\pi/\sqrt{N}$ in the large- N limit (so that $\pi - \pi$ loop contribution to ρ propagator is $\sim 1/N$, i.e., sub-leading order in a large- N expansion: see, for example, reference [27]). For $1(kg_5^2) = b_{CFT}|_{large-N} \sim N/(16\pi^2)$, this agrees with the coupling on RS1 side (using the fact that $g_4 \sim \sqrt{g_5^2 k}/\sqrt{k\pi r_c}$ – see Eq. (2.3)).

4.2 Dual interpretation of (one-loop corrected) low energy gauge coupling

Finally, we discuss the low energy gauge coupling. For $q \ll \mu_{CFT} \sim TeV$, on CFT side, we get the following (inverse) source propagator:

$$(\eta_{\mu\nu}q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \left(\tilde{\tau}_{UV} + [b_{scalar} + b_{CFT}] \log \frac{O(M_4)}{TeV} + \tilde{\tau}_{IR} + b_4 \log \frac{TeV}{q} \right) \quad (4.2)$$

since running (and dressing) due to CFT fields stops at $\mu_{CFT} \sim TeV$, where the conformal invariance is broken – at this scale, there can be threshold effects $\sim \tilde{\tau}_{IR}$. These threshold effects corresponds to TeV brane-localized coupling on AdS side (τ_{IR} of Eq. (2.2)) and hence this notation in CFT dual: $\tilde{\tau}_{IR} = \tau_{IR}$ up to CFT corrections at *sub-leading* order in a large- N expansion. Below $\sim TeV$, we have only zero-modes of photon and scalar (from the above discussion these are mixtures of ϕ , A_μ and CFT fields) so that we get the usual $4D$ running, $b_4 \log (TeV/q)$.

On AdS side, since mass of lightest KK state is $\sim TeV$, only zero-mode contributes to any gauge propagator for $q \ll TeV$, in particular, to the propagator on the Planck brane. So, kinetic term for source in the CFT (which is dual to the propagator on Planck brane) should match (for $q \ll TeV$) with *zero-mode* gauge coupling of RS1 (*including* loop corrections)¹¹ and it does as follows.¹² In large- N limit, $\tilde{\tau}_{UV(IR)} = \tau_{UV(IR)}$ and we can neglect b_{scalar} , b_4 . Then, the CFT gauge coupling in Eq. (4.2) agrees with *tree-level* zero-mode coupling on RS1 side (Eq. (2.3)) again for $b_{CFT}|_{large-N} = 1/(kg_5^2)$ [7]. We see that the *classical* $4D$ coupling g_4^2

¹¹This point was also made in [19].

¹²This matching goes through for general (i.e., not just TeV) values of $1/z_{IR}$ and μ_{CFT} as long as $1/z_{IR} \sim \mu_{CFT}$.

becoming zero as $r_c \rightarrow \infty$ (see Eq. (2.3)) is dual to $\mu_{CFT} \rightarrow 0$ and hence (infinite) running due to CFT matter fields causing gauge coupling to become zero in the IR (see Eq. (4.2) with μ_{CFT} replacing TeV) [7, 24] – this is a *quantum* effect.

As per the AdS/CFT correspondence, *loop* effects on AdS side are dual to *sub-leading* effects in a large- N expansion in the CFT [2, 3, 4, 5]. In this case, the sub-leading corrections to the gauge coupling in the dual CFT are the terms with b_{scalar} , b_4 and *sub-leading* (i.e., $O(1)/(16\pi^2)$) part of b_{CFT} in Eq. (4.2) and also the corrections to τ 's. The b_{scalar} term is calculable (b_{scalar} is b_4 as mentioned earlier) and so is $b_4 \log(\text{TeV}/q)$ which is the running due to zero-mode below TeV and which matches with the same term in Eq. (2.4). But, the *precise* coefficients in the other sub-leading CFT effects *are* sensitive to the strong CFT dynamics (and hence difficult to compute), in particular, the *sub-leading* part of b_{CFT} which is clearly comparable to the b_{scalar} term (see Eq. (4.2)). However, using $k\pi r_c \sim \log [O(M_4)/\text{TeV}]$, we see that the *general* form (i.e., up to uncalculable $O(1)$ coefficients) of the sub-leading CFT effects agrees with RS1 loop correction to the gauge coupling (see Eq. (2.4)) [15]. So, the dual CFT is not useful to compute loop corrections, but serves as a consistency check – for example, if loop correction on the RS1 side is some other power of $k\pi r_c$, then there is no dual CFT interpretation of RS1 loop effects.

5 Conclusions

The RS model with gauge fields in the bulk is dual, in the sense of the AdS/CFT correspondence, to a 4D CFT with global symmetries of CFT gauged by an *external* gauge field. On the RS side, it is technically natural to have small brane-localized kinetic terms (this is the limit often studied in the literature). In this paper, we have shown that this limit is dual to no/small *bare* kinetic term for gauge field coupled to the CFT global current and hence the kinetic term for this gauge field is *induced* by CFT loops.

The induced kinetic term results in kinetic mixing between external gauge field and CFT bound states (similar to $\gamma - \rho$ mixing in QED coupled to QCD). We showed how this understanding facilitates a dual interpretation of *flat*-profile of gauge field zero-mode (in contrast to *localization* of graviton zero-mode) and also of couplings of KK modes to brane-localized fields. If the standard model (SM) gauge fields are in the RS bulk, then there are stringent lower limits on masses of gauge KK modes due to their contributions to compositeness and precision electroweak observables [10, 11, 12]. In the future, we will use the dual interpretation of couplings of KK modes developed in this paper to obtain *dual* interpretations of these phenomenological constraints.

We also pointed out that loop corrections in RS are dual to *sub-leading* effects in a large- N expansion in the dual CFT which consist of two *comparable* contributions: (a) loops of fields

external to the CFT and (b) the *sub*-leading large- N part of *pure* CFT loops – the latter *is* sensitive to the strong CFT dynamics. In this paper, we studied the CFT dual of scalar QED in the bulk of RS, where this (external) scalar loop is calculable *in spite* of dressing due to CFT interactions. It might be interesting to study the CFT dual of *non*-abelian gauge theory in the bulk of RS – for example, to see if the analogous (external) gauge field loop is calculable.

As this paper was in preparation, reference [20] appeared which has some overlap with our discussion of loop corrections in RS being dual to sub-leading CFT effects.

Acknowledgments

K. A. is supported by the Leon Madansky Postdoctoral fellowship and by NSF Grant P420D3620414350. A. D. is supported by NSF Grants P420D3620414350 and P420D3620434350. We thank Raman Sundrum for many useful discussions and for reading the manuscript. We also thank Enrique Alvarez, Csaba Csaki, Walter Goldberger, Gregory Gabadadze and Alex Pomarol for discussions, Csaba Csaki for encouraging us to write up this work and the Aspen Center for Physics for hospitality during part of this work.

References

- [1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, hep-ph/9905221, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999) and hep-th/9906064, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999).
- [2] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998).
- [3] S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, hep-th/9802109, Phys. Lett. B 428, 105 (1998).
- [4] E. Witten, hep-th/9802150, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998).
- [5] For a review of AdS/CFT correspondence, see O. Aharony, S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, hep-th/9905111, Phys. Rept. 323, 183 (2000).
- [6] J. Maldacena, unpublished remarks; H. Verlinde, hep-th/9906182, Nucl. Phys. B 580, 264 (2000); E. Witten, ITP Santa Barbara conference ‘New Dimensions in Field Theory and String Theory’, http://www.itp.ucsb.edu/online/susy_c99/discussion; S. Gubser, hep-th/9912001, Phys. Rev. D 63, 084017 (2001).
- [7] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. Porrati and L. Randall, hep-th/0012148, JHEP 0108, 017 (2001).
- [8] R. Rattazzi and A. Zaffaroni, hep-th/0012248, JHEP 0104, 021 (2001).

- [9] M. Perez-Victoria, hep-th/0105048, JHEP 0105, 064 (2001).
- [10] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, hep-ph/9911262, Phys. Lett. B 473, 43 (2000).
- [11] A. Pomarol, hep-ph/9911294, Phys. Lett. B 486, 153 (2000).
- [12] For more phenomenology of gauge fields in the bulk of RS1, see S. Chang, J. Hisano, H. Nakano, N. Okada and M. Yamaguchi, hep-ph/9912498, Phys. Rev. D 62, 084025 (2000); T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, hep-ph/0003129, Nucl. Phys. B 586, 141 (2000); S. J. Huber and Q. Shafi, hep-ph/0005286, Phys. Rev. D 63, 045010 (2001); H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, hep-ph/0006041, Phys. Rev. D 63, 075004 (2001) and hep-ph/0006097, Phys. Lett. B 493, 135 (2000); S. J. Huber, C-A. Lee and Q. Shafi, hep-ph/0111465, Phys. Lett. B 531, 112 (2002); J.L. Hewett, F.J. Petriello and T.G. Rizzo, hep-ph/0203091, JHEP 0209, 030 (2002); C. Csaki, J. Erlich and J. Terning, hep-ph/0203034; G. Burdman, hep-ph/0205329. For gauge coupling renormalization with gauge fields in bulk of RS1, see [16, 17, 18, 19, 15, 20, 21, 22].
- [13] S. Hawking, J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, hep-th/0002145, JHEP 0105, 001 (2001).
- [14] H. Georgi, A. Grant and G. Hailu, hep-ph/0012379, Phys. Lett. B 506, 207 (2001).
- [15] K. Agashe, A. Delgado and R. Sundrum, hep-ph/0206099, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [16] A. Pomarol, hep-ph/0005293, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4004 (2000).
- [17] L. Randall and M. Schwartz, hep-th/0108114, JHEP 0111, 003 (2001) and hep-th/0108115, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 081801 (2002).
- [18] Gauge coupling renormalization in *supersymmetric* warped compactifications is discussed in K. Choi, H. D. Kim and Y. W. Kim, hep-ph/0202257; K. Choi, H. D. Kim and I-W. Kim, hep-ph/0207013.
- [19] W. Goldberger and I. Rothstein, hep-th/0204160 and hep-th/0208060.
- [20] R. Contino, P. Creminelli and E. Trincherini, hep-th/0208002.
- [21] Gauge coupling renormalization in “deconstructed” RS1 is discussed in A. Falkowski and H. D. Kim, hep-ph/0208058, JHEP 0208, 052 (2002); L. Randall, Y. Shadmi and N. Weiner, hep-th/0208120.

- [22] K. Choi and I-W. Kim, hep-th/0208071.
- [23] L. Susskind and E. Witten, hep-th/9805114.
- [24] N. Kaloper, L. Susskind and E. Silverstein, hep-th/0006192, JHEP 0105, 031 (2001).
- [25] K. Akama and T. Hattori, hep-ph/9607331, Phys. Lett. B 392, 383 (1997); G. Veneziano, hep-th/0110129, JHEP 0206, 051 (2002).
- [26] M. Porrati and A. Starinets, hep-th/9903085, Phys. Lett. B 454, 77 (1999); V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, hep-th/9903190, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3605 (1999); J. de Boer, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, hep-th/9912012, JHEP 0008, 003 (2000); E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, hep-th/9912018, JHEP 0005, 034 (2000).
- [27] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 160, 57 (1979).